1 2 3	W. BARTON WEITZENBERG, ESQ., SB# 051 DAVID W. BERRY, ESQ., SB# 180995 KATE MULLINS HENDERSON, ESQ., SB# 25 ABBEY, WEITZENBERG, WARREN & EMER 100 Stony Point Road, Suite 200	8861	
4	P.O. Box 1566 Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1566		
5	Telephone: 707-542-5050 Facsimile: 707-542-2589		
6	Attorneys for Defendants/Cross-Complainants NORTH BAY CONSTRUCTION, INC.		
7	NORTH BAT CONSTRUCTION, INC.		
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ISCO INDUSTRIES,	Case No. 4:06-CV-07082-CW	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
12	v.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
13	NORTH BAY CONSTRUCTION, INC., et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	Defendants.		
16	NORTH BAY CONSTRUCTION, INC. et al,		
17			
18	Defendants/Cross- Complainant,		
19	v	•	
20 21	ISCO INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Kentucky limited liability company, and ROES 1 through 50, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-12, the parties to the above-entitled action certify that they met		
25	and conferred at least ten (10) days prior to the subsequent case management conference		
26	scheduled in this case and jointly submit this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing the		
27	Case Management Conference currently scheduled for July 26, 2011, for approximately 90 days		
28	until October 25, 2011.		
	-1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONT		

DESCRIPTION OF CASE DEVELOPMENTS

1. The following progress or changes have occurred since the last case management statement filed by the parties:

Pursuant to Order of this Court signed June 18, 2009, this matter is stayed pending completion of the leachate pipeline which is the subject matter of this litigation. Physical repair on the pipeline is completed and final testing of the pipeline is not resolved.

- 2. North Bay Construction has initiated litigation against the County of Sonoma in Sonoma County Superior Court, case number SCV-249232. The County of Sonoma filed a cross-complaint against North Bay Construction and Winzler & Kelly, the engineering firm that designed the pipeline. Winzler & Kelly has not yet filed a responsive pleading to the cross-complaint filed by the County of Sonoma. Once the case becomes at issue, parties will be able to resolve the issue of finding a joint forum to resolve all of the issues, and resolve the issue of whether to bring all parties to either mediation or arbitration.
 - 3. The parties jointly request the Court to make the following Order:

The parties request the Court set this matter for a further case management conference for not less than ninety (90) days from now, on October 25, 2011.

Dated: July/5, 2011	By Jeffrey G. Bairey Lorena Matei Attorneys for Cross-Defendant ISCO INDUSTRIES, LLC
Dated: July , 2011	BELL, ROSENBERG & HUGHTS LLP
	Roland Nikles Roland Nikles Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendants ISCO INDUSTRIES, LLC
///	.2

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

.24

25

26

27

28

DESCRIPTION OF CASE DEVELOPMENTS

1. The following progress or changes have occurred since the last case management statement filed by the parties:

Pursuant to Order of this Court signed June 18, 2009, this matter is stayed pending completion of the leachate pipeline which is the subject matter of this litigation. Physical repair on the pipeline is completed and final testing of the pipeline is not resolved.

- 2. North Bay Construction has initiated litigation against the County of Sonoma in Sonoma County Superior Court, case number SCV-249232. The County of Sonoma filed a cross-complaint against North Bay Construction and Winzler & Kelly, the engineering firm that designed the pipeline. Winzler & Kelly has not yet filed a responsive pleading to the crosscomplaint filed by the County of Sonoma. Once the case becomes at issue, parties will be able to resolve the issue of finding a joint forum to resolve all of the issues, and resolve the issue of whether to bring all parties to either mediation or arbitration.
 - 3. The parties jointly request the Court to make the following Order:

The parties request the Court set this matter for a further case management conference for not less than ninety (90) days from now, on October 25, 2011.

Dated: July , 2011 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP By Jeffrey G. Bairey Lorena Matei Attorneys for Cross-Defendant ISCO INDUSTRIES, LLC Dated: July , 2011 By Roland Nikles Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendants ISCO INDUSTRIES, LLC ///

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Dated: July 14, 2011	ABBEY, WEITZENBERG, WARREN & EMERY	
	n Vide Milde	
	By Kate Mullins Henderson, Esq.	
	Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complain NORTH BAY CONSTRUCTION, INC.	nan
SUPPLE	MENTAL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER	
The Stipulation to Con	tinue the July 26, 2011, Case Management Conference is hereb	by
adopted by the Court and the p	parties are ordered to comply with this Order. The Case	
Management Conference is co	ntinued to October 25, 2011, at 2:00 p.m. Updated Case	•
Management Conference State	ments due one week prior to conference.	
[In addition the Court orders of		
,		
	•	
·		
Dotad: 7/20/2011		
Dated:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	3
	Claudia Wilken	
·		
•		